

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/524,559	02/14/2005	Shinjirou Sakurai	018765-203	9113
21839 7590 04/12/2007 BUCHANAN, INGERSOLL & ROONEY PC				
POST OFFICE	BOX 1404	HESS, BRUCE H		
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22313-1404		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1774	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	· MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	04/12/2007	PAPER	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers	10/524,559	SAKURAI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Bruce H. Hess	1774				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timuiting the same and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE.	N. nely filed the mailing date of this c D. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	21.07 (amendu	(tus	÷ .			
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)□ This	-· action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the	e merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	-					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1~4 is/are pending in the applicatio	n					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			•			
	William General Charlette					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s)						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement					
	ologiam roquiromoni.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti			• •			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	ΓΟ-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority</li> </ul>	s have been received. s have been received in Application	on No	Stone			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		a in this National	Stage			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					
			_			

Application/Control Number: 10/524,559 Page 2

Art Unit: 1774

Claims 1-4 are again rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the patent to Nojima et al. for the reasons of record.

As with the other parameters discussed in the last Office action, determination of the particle size of a resin (e.g., the claimed polyolefin copolymer) in an emulsion (e.g., the claimed resin emulsion (c)) constitutes the optimization of operating conditions in the absence of unexpected results or a showing of result-effectivity. It is noted that the first full paragraph on page 10 of applicants' specification alleges the criticality of the newly recited polyolefin copolymer particle size. If these alleged results can be substantiated with objective evidence, this rejection will have been overcome. Such objective evidence would be considered by this examiner after final rejection.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Brune Her

PRINCE H. HESS
PRIMARY EXAMINER
PROUP 1300